

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FIL	ING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/817,392	10/817,392 04/02/2004		Wayne D. Young	019680-009100US 2905	
20350	7590	11/28/2006		EXAMINER	
TOWNSEN	D AND T	OWNSEND AN	TRAN, TRANG U		
TWO EMBA	RCADER	O CENTER			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
EIGHTH FLOOR				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
CANEDANC	ISCO CA	A 0/111 202/		2/22	

DATE MAILED: 11/28/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)					
		10/817,392	YOUNG ET AL.					
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
		Trang U. Tran	2622 .					
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence addre	ss				
WHIC - Exter after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATES of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period were to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim fill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	I.  lely filed the mailing date of this commod (35 U.S.C. § 133).	·				
Status								
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on							
′=		action is non-final.						
3)								
,	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Dispositi	on of Claims							
	Claim(s) 1-22 is/are pending in the application.							
-	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
	Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
·	Claim(s) <u>1-22</u> is/are rejected.							
	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.		•				
	on Papers							
_	The specification is objected to by the Examiner							
	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce		Vaminor					
. 5/	Applicant may not request that any objection to the c	•						
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction			121(4)				
11) 🗌	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exa			• •				
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119	•						
_	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)	-(d) or (f).					
,-	1. Certified copies of the priority documents	have been received.						
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priori			ae				
	application from the International Bureau			<b>J</b> -				
* S	ee the attached detailed Office action for a list of	of the certified copies not received	d.					
Attachment	(c)	,						
	e of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary (	DTO 413)					
2) 🔲 Notice	of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Dat						
	nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) No(s)/Mail Date <u>7/20/2004;12/17/2004</u> .	5) Notice of Informal Pa	tent Application					
, apei	Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>7/20/2004;12/17/2004</u> . 6)							

### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 2. Claims 1-2, 5-8, 11-12 and 15-22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipate by Rinaldi et al (US Patent No. 6,327,002 B1).

In considering claim 1, Rinaldi et al discloses all the claimed subject matter, note 1) the claimed a pixel pipeline circuit configured to provide a pixel stream comprising digital pixel values is met by the multiplexer 50 and the ADCs 58, 60 (Fig. 2, col. 2, lines 51-67 and col. 3, lines 36-48), 2) the claimed an encoder coupled to an output of the pixel pipeline circuit and configured to convert the pixel stream to digital sample values for a target analog signal representing the pixel stream in the target format, thereby generating a base data stream at a base sampling rate is met by the input switching matrix 68 (Fig. 2, col. 3, line 45 to col. 4, line 7), 3) the claimed a supersampling circuit coupled to an output of the encoder and configured to generate a supersampled data stream at a supersampling rate from the base data stream, the supersampling rate being higher than the base sampling rate is met by the up sampling module 70 which changes the sampling frequency of the signals to match the desired output sampling frequencies (Fig. 2, col. 3, line 45 to col. 4, line 7), and 4) the claimed a digital to analog converter coupled to an output of the supersampling circuit and configured to convert

the supersampled data stream to an analog output signal is met by the DAC module 23 (Fig. 2, col. 4, lines 8-16).

Page 3

In considering claim 2, the claimed wherein the supersampling rate is selected so as to provide substantial attenuation of a higher frequency echo in the analog output signal, the higher frequency echo occurring in a frequency band above a baseband of the analog output signal is met by the up sampling module 70 which changes the sampling frequency of the signals to match the desired output sampling frequencies (the rate is selected from the input switching matrix 68) (Fig. 2, col. 3, line 45 to col. 4, line 7).

In considering claim 5, the claimed wherein the baseband of the analog output signal is determined with reference to a baseband for a standard definition television monitor is met by the processing the incoming video signal through plurality of output video sources (Fig. 4, col. 4, line 44 to col. 6, line 20).

In considering claim 6, the claimed wherein the baseband of the analog output signal is determined with reference to a baseband for a high definition television monitor is met by the processing the incoming video signal through plurality of output video sources (Fig. 4, col. 4, line 44 to col. 6, line 20).

In considering claim 7, the claimed wherein the encoder is further configured to respond to one or more control parameters, thereby enabling selection of one of a plurality of candidate formats as the target format is met by the input switching matrix 68 (Fig. 2, col. 3, line 45 to col. 4, line 7).

Art Unit: 2622

In considering claim 8, the claimed wherein the plurality of candidate formats includes a standard definition television format and a high definition television format is met by input switching matrix 68 which provides the YUV data provided by the YcrCb to YUV converter 66, or selects the Y and C component digital signals 74, 76 or combination of the Y and C component digital signals and the YUV signals to the up sampling module 70 (Fig. 2, col. 3, line 45 to col. 4, line 7).

In considering claim 11, Rinaldi et al. discloses all the claimed subject matter. note 1) the claimed a pixel pipeline circuit configured to provide a pixel stream comprising a first number of digital pixel values per line at a base pixel rate is met by the multiplexer 50 and the ADCs 58, 60 (Fig. 2, col. 2, lines 51-67 and col. 3, lines 36-48), 2) the claimed a supersampling circuit coupled to an output of the pixel pipeline circuit and configured to generate a supersampled pixel stream comprising a second number of digital pixel values per line, the second number being greater than the first number, at a supersampling rate higher than the base pixel rate is met by the up sampling module 70 which changes the sampling frequency of the signals to match the desired output sampling frequencies (Fig. 2, col. 3, line 45 to col. 4, line 7), 3) the claimed an encoder coupled to an output of the supersampling circuit and configured to convert the supersampled pixel stream to digital sample values for a target analog signal representing the supersampled pixel stream in the target format, thereby generating a supersampled data stream at an enhanced sampling rate is met by the output switching matrix 72 (Fig. 2, col. 3, line 57 to col. 4, line 16), and 4) the claimed a digital to analog converter coupled to an output of the encoder and configured to convert the

Art Unit: 2622

supersampled data stream to an analog output signal is met by the DAC module 23 (Fig. 2, col. 4, lines 8-16).

Claim 12 is rejected for the same reason as discussed in claim 2.

Claims 15-16 are rejected for the same reason as discussed in claims 7-8, respectively.

Claim 17 is rejected for the same reason as discussed in claims 1-2 and further the claimed a pixel generator circuit configured to generate and store pixel data for a frame of an image is met by the multiplexer 50 and the ADCs 58, 60 (Fig. 2, col. 2, lines 51-67 and col. 3, lines 36-48).

Claims 18-19 are rejected for the same reason as discussed in claims 7-8, respectively.

Claim 20 is rejected for the same reason as discussed in claims 1 and 2.

Claims 21-22 are rejected for the same reason as discussed in claims 7-8, respectively.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 9-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Rinaldi et al (US Patent No. 6,327,002 B1).

Art Unit: 2622

In considering claim 9, Rinaldi et al disclose all the limitations of the instant invention as discussed in claim 1 above, except for providing the claimed wherein the supersampling rate is substantially equal to twice the base sampling rate. The capability of using the supersampling rate is substantially equal to twice the base sampling rate is old and well known in the art. Therefore, the Official Notice is taken. It would have been obvious to one ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to incorporate the old and well known using of the supersampling rate is substantially equal to twice the base sampling rate into Rinaldi et al's system in order to increase the quality of the video signal during sampling process.

In considering claim 10, Rinaldi et al disclose all the limitations of the instant invention as discussed in claim 1 above, except for providing the claimed wherein the supersampling rate is substantially equal to four times the base sampling rate. The capability of using the supersampling rate is substantially equal to four times the base sampling rate is old and well known in the art. Therefore, the Official Notice is taken. It would have been obvious to one ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to incorporate the old and well known using of the supersampling rate is substantially equal to four times the base sampling rate into Rinaldi et al's system in order to increase the quality of the video signal during sampling process.

5. Claims 3-4 and 13-14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Rinaldi et al (US Patent No. 6,327,002 B1) in view of the admitted prior art (Fig. 1A, 1B, page 2, [0005]-[0006]).

Application/Control Number: 10/817,392 Page 7

Art Unit: 2622

In considering claim 3, Rinaldi et al disclose all the limitations of the instant invention as discussed in claim 1 above, except for providing the claimed further comprising an electromagnetic interference (EMI) filter coupled to an output of the digital to analog converter and configured to substantially attenuate frequency components of the analog output signal above a maximum frequency. The admitted prior art teaches that the monitor path 120 includes a pixel pipeline 122, an encoder 124, a DAC 126, and an electromagnetic interference (EMI) filter 128, which is simply a low pass filter with a frequency cut off above about 200 MHz (Fig. 1A, 1B, page 2, [0005]-[0006]). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to incorporate the EMI filter as taught by the admitted prior art into Rinaldi et al's system in order to limit the high frequency radiation emitted by electronic devices.

In considering claim 4, the claimed wherein the supersampling rate is selected so as to substantially attenuate an echo of the analog output signal, the echo appearing in a frequency band between a baseband of the analog signal and the maximum frequency is met by the up sampling module 70 which changes the sampling frequency of the signals to match the desired output sampling frequencies (the rate is selected from the input switching matrix 68) (Fig. 2, col. 3, line 45 to col. 4, line 7 of Rinaldi et al).

Claim 13 is rejected for the same reason as discussed in claim 3.

Claim 14 is rejected for the same reason as discussed in claim 4.

#### Conclusion

Page 8

Art Unit: 2622

6. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Chow (US Patent No. 7,102,645 B2) discloses graphics display controlled providing enhanced read/write efficiency for interlacing with a RAM-integrated graphics display device.

Tsai et al. (US Patent No. 6,885,378 B1) disclose method and apparatus for the implementation of full-scene anti-aliasing supersampling.

Margulis (US Patent No. 6,456,340 B1) discloses apparatus and method for performing image transforms in a digital display system.

Auld et al. (US Patent No. 6,327,000 B1) disclose efficient image scaling for scan rate conversion.

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Trang U. Tran whose telephone number is (571) 272-7358. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:00 AM - 5:30 PM, Monday to Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, David L. Ometz can be reached on (571) 272-7593. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/817,392

Art Unit: 2622

92 Page 9

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a

USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information

system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

November 21, 2006

Trang U. Tran
Primary Examiner
Art Unit 2622